Journal of
Computer Science and Software Development

Research Article Open Access

Exploring the Potential of 3D Printing in Manufacturing: Redefining Production Processes

Sangarsu Raghavendra*

Tech Lead at Nationwide, India

***Corresponding Author:** Sangarsu Raghavendra, Sangarsu Raghavendra, Tel: 3378494458, E-mail: raghava.sangars@gmail.com

Received Date: December 18, 2023 **Accepted Date:** January 18, 2024 **Published Date:** January 20, 2024

Citation: Sangarsu Raghavendra (2024) Exploring the Potential of 3D Printing in Manufacturing: Redefining Production Processes. J Comput Sci Software Dev 3: 1-10

Abstract

The disruptive power of 3D printing technology is causing a revolutionary change in the manufacturing sector. Exploring the underlying techniques, materials, and applications of 3D printing that challenge and reinvent traditional manufacturing processes, this article explores the diverse potential of this emerging technology. 3D printing offers unmatched benefits in shorter lead times, cost effectiveness, increased design freedom, and sustainable manufacturing, from quick prototype to customized mass production. The report addresses material limits, post-processing requirements, and intellectual property concerns while examining the advantages. Promising trends in the future view include the integration of Industry 4.0, multi-material printing, and regulatory developments.

Keywords: 3D Printing Technology; Manufacturing Sector; Applications of 3D Printing; Lead Times; Customized Mass Production; Post-Processing Requirements; Industry 4.0

Introduction

With the introduction of 3D printing technology, the field of traditional manufacturing—which was previously controlled by mass production techniques and economies of scale—is seeing a significant upheaval. With the manufacturing industry facing challenges from globalization, sustainability issues, and growing demand for customized products, 3D printing is emerging as a disruptive force that has the potential to completely change traditional production paradigms [1-3].

Fundamentally, additive manufacturing, also referred to as 3D printing, is a change from the conventional subtractive techniques that have dominated manufacturing for millennia. Using digital drawings as a guide, 3D printing constructs objects layer by layer as opposed to carving or molding materials into a final form. This part aims to introduce the reader to the notion of 3D printing and emphasize its ability to challenge and rethink current manufacturing processes. It also serves as a doorway to comprehending the revolutionary potential embedded in this transformational technology.

The fundamental transition from mass production to customized, on-demand manufacturing is the foundation of the 3D printing concept. In contrast to conventional techniques, which frequently require large-scale production runs in order to justify prices, 3D printing enables businesses to create products with complex designs and variable requirements without sacrificing affordability. This adaptability speeds up the prototype process and allows for a degree of customization that was previously thought to be financially impractical.

Furthermore, the increasing focus on sustainability in manufacturing is consistent with 3D printing. 3D printing helps to make manufacturing more ecologically friendly by reducing material waste through accurate stacking and localized output [4]. The eco-friendly features of 3D printing make it a significant player in the worldwide conversation over climate change's effects.

Fundamentals of 3D Printing

Additive Manufacturing Techniques

- **Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM)**: One of the fundamental methods of additive manufacturing, FDM, works by layer by layer extrusion of thermoplastic filaments. Due of its ease of use, this approach is generally accepted by both industrial and hobbyist users. Because the printer precisely deposits material just where needed, FDM has the advantage of minimizing material waste. Beyond prototyping, FDM's adaptability finds uses in the manufacture of tools and functioning components.

- **Stereolithography (SLA):** SLA uses a liquid resin that solidifies when exposed to UV light, unlike FDM. Because of its superior ability to produce extremely accurate and detailed models, this technology is recommended for applications requiring complex patterns and smooth surface finishes. SLA is useful for creating visual prototypes for design validation and works well in sectors like jewelry and consumer electronics where product resolution and aesthetics are crucial [5,6].

- **Selective Laser Sintering (SLS):** Layer by layer, powdered materials, usually metals or polymers, are fused selectively using a laser in SLS. When compared to traditional procedures, this technology gives a distinct advantage in making items with intricate interior structures and geometries that would be difficult or impossible to accomplish. SLS is advantageous to industries like aerospace and automotive because it can produce components that are both durable and lightweight [7]. The efficiency of printing is further increased by the lack of support structures during the process.

Materials Utilized in 3D Printing

- **Polymers:** The field of additive manufacturing is dominated by polymer-based 3D printing, with PETG, PLA, and ABS leading the way. PLA is made from easily renewable resources, such as corn starch, and is both biodegradable and user-friendly. ABS is used in end-use parts and functional prototypes because of its strength and durability. With its capacity to combine transparency with durability, PETG is a versatile material that may be used for a variety of applications, including as consumer items and medical models [8].

- **Ceramics:** A new age in additive manufacturing is dawning with the introduction of ceramic 3D printing. Electrical insulation and resilience to high temperatures are just two of the special qualities offered by ceramic materials. This technology is used to create complex ceramic parts for electrical devices, medical implants, and sophisticated ceramics for industrial purposes [9,10]. The capacity to meticulously assemble ceramic structures layer by layer creates opportunities for innovation in fields where conventional ceramic production techniques are inadequate.

3D Printing and Industry 4.0 - An Integrative Approach

Through the emergence of 3D printing, also known as additive manufacturing [11,12], an era that harbours immense transformative potential in terms of manufacturing has dawned. As such, this revolutionary phenomenon is viewed as a disruptive technique which serves to act This kind of revolution can be defined as the use of digital technologies like IoT, AI and robotics in a manufacturing process [13]. The very essence of 3D printing technology is closely embedded in the core nature of Industry 4.0 due to its digital architecture. Traditional manufacturing methods often employ subtractive techniques, whereas 3D printing adds material to produce an object layer by-layer [14]. During processing: This approach offers more flexibility and tailoring, minimized material wastes thus promoting the industry 4.0 philosophy of efficiency and sustainability [15].

One important feature of the relationship between 3D printing and Industry 4.0 is posted in its linkage to IoT-enabled processes. Smart factories, which are accompanied by sensors and interconnected systems depend on data analytics to optimize manufacturing activities [13]. Integration of 3D printing technologies into these systems allows for a more agile production process [16]. For instance, sensors can detect mistakes in real time during the printing process and change settings quickly which leads to high productivity. 3D printing plays an important role in enhancing a critical pillar of Sustainability, one which is at the core Industry 4.0 [17]. As opposed to subtractive manufacturing techniques, 3D design minimizes waste by adding material layer-by-layer [18].

Case Studies: Real-World Applications

Aerospace Sector: Boeing and GE Aviation

In the aerospace sector, firms such as Boeing and GE Aviation have demonstrated how 3D printing technology is incorporated into their production lines. This has seen Boeing use 3D printing to create more than three hundred different airplane parts, resulting in massive weight and time of manufacturing [19]. In this connection, use of 3D printed fuel nozzles in the LEAP engine by GE Aviation demonstrates a gain for up to 25% reduction in thermal efficiency [20].

Automotive Industry: BMW Group

Similarly, the automotive industry has embraced 3D printing for both prototyping and production. BMW Group, for instance, has implemented 3D printing to produce over one million parts since 2010, ranging from simple fixtures to complex components like roof brackets, thereby showcasing the scalability of 3D printing in manufacturing [21].

Applications of 3D Printing in Manufacturing

Prototyping and Product Development

- **Rapid Prototyping:** Rapid prototyping is the most well-known use of 3D printing, and it has completely changed the conventional product development process. Manufacturers are able to quickly convert digital drawings into physical prototypes, which makes the design process more dynamic and iterative. This quick prototyping feature shortens the time needed to validate and improve designs, which speeds up the process of developing new products.

- **Iterative Design Processes:** Because of the inherent flexibility of 3D printing, designers may easily participate in iterative design processes. Through quick iteration and testing, designers can find and fix problems, improve features, and maximize functionality of a product. This iterative process reduces expenses related to significant design changes made at a later stage of development while simultaneously improving the quality of the finished product.

Customization and Personalization

- **Mass Customization:** The ability of 3D printing to enable mass customization is one of its revolutionary qualities. In contrast to conventional manufacturing techniques, 3D printing enables the mass, reasonably priced production of one-of-a-kind, personalized goods [22]. The ability to precisely create bespoke medical implants and prostheses has significant ramifications for a wide range of businesses, including healthcare, consumer goods, and meeting the unique demands of individual patients.

- **Tailored Consumer Goods:** 3D printing is being used by the shoe and healthcare industries to create customized consumer goods. The customer experience is being reshaped by 3D printing's ability to make tailored products based on unique anatomical data, such as dental implants, orthopedic insoles, and custom-fit shoes. This improves customer comfort and creates opportunities for creative business models based on customized items.

Tooling and Jig Production

- **Cost-effective Tooling**: Conventional tooling techniques can entail high lead times and expenses. These difficulties are lessened by 3D printing, which makes it possible to produce affordable tools on demand [23,24]. Manufacturers are able to quickly design and create bespoke tools, molds, and dies that are suited to certain manufacturing requirements. This adaptability improves the production process's agility and helps to lower overall costs.

- **On-demand Production of Jigs and Fixtures:** 3D printing's versatility also extends to the creation of fixtures and jigs, which are crucial parts of manufacturing procedures. Manufacturers increase productivity and precision by producing personalized fixtures and jigs as needed. By minimizing downtime and streamlining productivity, this dynamic method guarantees that production setups may be swiftly modified to accommodate a variety of product combinations and variants.

Complex Geometries and Lightweight Structures

- **Optimization of Designs:** By enabling the creation of elaborate and complicated structures that would be difficult or impossible to manufacture using conventional methods, 3D printing gives designers the ability to optimize product ideas. This capacity is especially useful in sectors like healthcare, where implants may be made to perfectly fit the anatomy of the patient, optimizing comfort and functionality [25].

- **Improved Performance in Aerospace and Automotive Industries:** 3D printing provides unmatched benefits in the aerospace and automobile industries, where lightweight constructions are critical to overall performance and fuel efficiency. Thanks to this technology, lightweight, structurally sound components with intricate shapes may be produced. This leads to better overall performance in crucial applications, decreased environmental impact, and increased fuel efficiency.

Benefits of 3D Printing in Manufacturing

Reduced Lead Times

- **Faster Prototyping and Production:** Conventional prototyping techniques frequently entail drawn-out procedures, such as mold and tool fabrication. On the other hand, 3D printing makes it possible to quickly produce prototypes from digital designs, which speeds up the prototyping process [26,27]. This greatly shortens the time it takes for new items to reach the market and speeds up the design approval process. Industries are not impeded by long lead times and may react quickly to market needs and product iterations.

Cost Efficiency

- **Minimized Waste:** The capacity of 3D printing to reduce material waste is one of its main advantages. The process of subtractive manufacturing involves removing materials from a bigger block, which frequently results in significant waste. Conversely, material is added layer by layer during 3D printing, guaranteeing that just the required amount of material is used. This waste reduction is in line with sustainable manufacturing methods in addition to being cost-effective.

- **On-demand Production:** The conventional idea of mass production and huge stocks is challenged by the on-demand nature of 3D printing. 3D printing makes it possible to produce goods exactly when needed, as opposed to producing and storing them in anticipation of demand [28]. This change reduces the danger of overproduction and the expenses related to warehousing and storage. By being able to react more quickly to changes in demand, manufacturers can minimize financial risk and maximize operational effectiveness.

- **Enhanced Design Flexibility:** Complex geometric designs are frequently more expensive to produce using traditional methods. That limitation, however, is removed with 3D printing. With 3D printing, it is simple to create complex and elaborate patterns that would be costly or prohibitive to construct using conventional methods. This ability creates new opportunities for creative product ideas without the financial burden of complex manufacturing procedures.

- **Sustainable Manufacturing:** Concern over how manufacturing practices affect the environment is growing. This problem is addressed by 3D printing, which uses precise layering to reduce material waste. Conventional techniques frequently require trimming away extra material, which results in significant waste. By layering on material, 3D printing reduces waste to only that which is required for the finished product. This decrease in material waste helps to make production more environmentally friendly and sustainable. One of the most important aspects of sustainable manufacturing is the capacity for local production. Localized production is made possible by 3D printing, which lessens the need for massive goods transportation [29]. This reduces the shipping-related carbon impact and is consistent with the ideas of sustainable, localized manufacturing. The ability to significantly reduce the environmental impact of production is becoming more and more significant as 3D printing technologies continue to progress.

Challenges and Considerations

Material Limitations

Finding materials appropriate for specific high-performance applications continues to be a difficulty, despite the fact that the range of printable materials for 3D printing is constantly growing. The existing selection of 3D printing materials may not be sufficient for industries like aerospace and healthcare, which require materials with certain mechanical qualities, temperature resistance, or biocompatibility. To overcome this obstacle, continuous research and development is needed to create novel materials that adhere to strict application specifications.

Post-Processing Requirements

- **Surface Finishing:** The requirement for post-processing to provide the appropriate surface smoothness is one factor to take into account when using 3D printing. Layer lines may be evident on the finished product due to the layer-by-layer nature of 3D printing, even though it is excellent at producing complicated and intricate structures. Sanding, polishing, or coating are examples of post-processing activities that may be required to improve both functionality and aesthetics. But this adds more time and money constraints to the manufacturing process.

- **Inspection and Quality Control:** Inspection and quality control now face additional difficulties when it comes to ensuring the quality of 3D printed products. The distinct layering process of 3D printing necessitates the establishment of specific inspection standards and procedures, whereas other manufacturing technologies frequently have defined inspection protocols. Making sure that each layer follows the design guidelines and spotting possible flaws turn into crucial components of 3D printing quality control. To address these obstacles, improvements in industry-wide standards and inspection technology are crucial.

Intellectual Property Concerns

- **Digital Piracy and Counterfeiting Risks:** The use of digital files for 3D printing raises issues with intellectual property (IP). There is a serious risk associated with the unauthorized replication of patented or copyrighted designs through digital piracy and counterfeiting [30]. Protecting the intellectual property of designers and manufacturers becomes more difficult due to the ease with which digital files may be shared and distributed. To address these issues and protect the interests of innovators in the 3D printing ecosystem, strong legal frameworks and digital rights management systems must be developed. Enabling 3D printing to reach its full potential will depend on resolving these issues as it develops and becomes more integrated into conventional production processes. To overcome material limits, simplify post-processing needs, and provide strong intellectual property protection systems, researchers, manufacturers, and legislators must work together. By doing this, the manufacturing sector may encourage the more safe, effective, and moral integration of 3D printing technology.

Societal Impacts and Ethical Considerations of 3D Printing Adoption

- **Job Displacement and Economic Shifts**

- The deep penetration of 3D printing in manufacturing implies serious changes on the economic front with respect to employment loss. If automation and additive manufacturing continue to rise in popularity then the traditional role of a manufacturer will be reduced leading to adaptations within the work force [31]. But this disturbance also offers possibilities in new job categories, centring on design, digital competence and material science [32]. The question is to provide them with an easy transition through education and retraining schemes.

- **Accessibility and Democratization of Manufacturing**

- 3D printing technology is capable of sparking democratization in the field of manufacturing, becoming more and more accessible to small business entities as well individual entrepreneurs [33]. This democratization could lead to innovation and allow a wider range of creators accessing manufacturing, thus promoting an inclusive economy [34]. In fact, this leads to questions about the integrity of intellectual property rights and calls for sound legal structures that balance innovation promotion while ensuring protection against infringement [35].

- **Equitable Distribution of Benefits**

- One of the major ethical factors, however, is equitable distribution of 3D printing's benefits. Though it has the potential of greatly lowering production costs and improving efficiency, there is also a danger that such benefits will not be enjoyed equally by all socio-economic groups [36]. To avoid further increasing the digital gap, policies and initiatives encouraging equal access to 3D printing technology and particularly in poor areas are necessary [37].

Future Outlook

Advancements in Materials and Printing Technologies

- **Multi-material Printing:** As multi-material printing advances, 3D printing has a bright future ahead of it. This advancement may make it possible to use several materials simultaneously in a single print process, providing hitherto unheard-of flexibility in the material qualities of a single product. This capacity has broad applications, especially in fields where a blend of materials with unique properties is necessary. Multi-material printing, for instance, has the potential to transform the medical industry by enabling the production of implants with different functionality or densities, creating new opportunities for individualized healthcare solutions.

- **Nanocomposites:** A frontier of innovation is presented by the incorporation of nanomaterials into 3D printing techniques. By combining nanoparticles with printing materials, nanocomposites can greatly improve the characteristics of the materials. Enhancements in strength, conductivity, and other qualities are included in this. The use of nanocomposites in 3D printing may lead to materials with previously unheard-of performance as nanotechnology develops, enabling the creation of high-performance parts for a variety of industries, including electronics and aerospace [38].

Integration with Industry 4.0

- **IoT-enabled 3D Printing:** An exciting new era of smart manufacturing is about to begin with the combination of 3D printing and the Internet of Things (IoT). With the use of networked sensors and devices, IoT-enabled 3D printing would allow for real-time control and monitoring of the entire printing process. Manufacturers may now collect data on temperature, humidity, and printing speed thanks to this connection, which makes it possible to precisely monitor and optimize the printing environment. The end product is a 3D printing procedure that is more effective, flexible, and data-driven.

- **Smart Factories:** According to the larger Industry 4.0 concept, 3D printing is expected to be crucial to the creation of smart factories. Automation and data interchange are effortlessly incorporated into production processes in smart factories. As an adaptable and nimble production technique, 3D printing fits right into this paradigm. Combining robotics, AI, and data analytics with 3D printing increases overall production efficiency, decreases downtime, and makes large-scale, customized manufacturing possible on demand.

Regulatory and Standardization Developments

The rising use of 3D printing in production necessitates the creation of extensive regulatory frameworks and quality requirements. It is anticipated that regulatory agencies will take the initiative to guarantee the security and caliber of 3D printed goods. This entails creating standards for the characteristics of materials, manufacturing procedures, and final product requirements. The establishment of standardized procedures will boost customer and industry confidence and encourage a wider adoption of 3D printing in conventional production processes.

Conclusion

The impact of 3D printing on production processes is growing as technology advances. The potential of technology to facilitate sustainable production, fast prototyping, and customization represents a paradigm shift in the manufacturing process. Even if there are still obstacles, continued developments in materials, technology, and legal frameworks will probably hasten the adoption of 3D printing and completely alter the way that manufacturing is done in the future.

References

1. ASTM International. (2012) ASTM F2792-12a. Standard terminology for additive manufacturing technologies (Withdrawn 2015). West Conshohocken, PA: ASTM International.

2. Baumers M, Tuck C, Wildman R, Ashcroft I, Hague R (2011) Energy inputs to additive manufacturing: Does capacity utilization matter? In Proceedings of the 23rd Annual International Solid Freeform Fabrication Symposium, 6–8 August, Austin, TX, USA.

3. Baumers M, Tuck C, Wildman R, Ashcroft I, Rosamond E, Hague R (2013) Transparency built-in: Energy consumption and cost estimation for additive manufacturing. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 17: 418-31.

4. Boschetto A, Bottini L, Veniali F (2016) Finishing of fused deposition modeling parts by CNC machining. Robotics and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 41: 92-101.

5. Chen D, Heyer S, Ibbotson S, Salonitis K, Steingrímsson JG, Thiede S (2015). Direct digital manufacturing: Definition, evolution, and sustainability implications. Journal of Cleaner Production, 1–131.

6. Coletti P, Aichner T (2011) Mass customization. In Mass customization: An exploration of European characteristics, 23–40. Berlin; Heidelberg, Germany: Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

7. Conner BP, Manogharan GP, Martof AN, Rodomsky LM, Rodomsky CM et al. (2014) Making sense of 3-D printing: Creating a map of additive manufacturing products and services. Additive Manufacturing, 64-76.

8. Dahlgren E, Göçmen C, Lackner K, van Ryzin G (2013) Small modular infrastructure. The Engineering Economist, 58: 231-64.

9. Duflou JR, Sutherland JW, Dornfeld D, Herrmann C, Jeswiet J, Kara S, Kellens K (2012) Towards energy and resource efficient manufacturing: A processes and systems approach. CIRP Annals—Manufacturing Technology, 61: 587-609.

10. Durão LFCS, Christ A, Anderl R, Schützer K, Zancul E (2016) Distributed manufacturing of spare parts based on additive manufacturing: Use cases and technical aspects. 49 Cirp Cms 57: 704-9.

11. Prashar G, Vasudev H, Bhuddhi D (2023) Additive manufacturing: expanding 3D printing horizon in industry 4.0. International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM), 17: 2221-35.

12. Jandyal A, Chaturvedi I, Wazir I, Raina A, Haq MIU (2022) 3D printing–A review of processes, materials and applications in industry 4.0. Sustainable Operations and Computers, 3: 33-42.

13. Ashima R, Haleem A, Bahl S, Javaid M, Mahla SK, Singh S (2021) Automation and manufacturing of smart materials in Additive Manufacturing technologies using Internet of Things towards the adoption of Industry 4.0. Materials Today: Proceedings, 45: 5081-8.

14. Tamir TS, Xiong G, Shen Z, Leng J, Fang Q, Yang Y et al. (2023) 3D printing in materials manufacturing industry: A realm of Industry 4.0. Heliyon.

15. Olsson NO, Arica E, Woods R, Madrid JA (2021) Industry 4.0 in a project context: Introducing 3D printing in construction projects. Project Leadership and Society, 2: 100033.

16. Parvanda R, Kala P (2023) Trends, opportunities, and challenges in the integration of the additive manufacturing with Industry 4.0. Progress in Additive Manufacturing, 8: 587-614.

17. Badhoutiya A, Darokar H, Verma RP, Saraswat M, Devaraj S et al. (2023) Regenerative Manufacturing: Crafting a Sustainable Future through Design and Production. In E3S Web of Conferences 453: 01038.

18. Shuaib M, Haleem A, Kumar S, Javaid M (2021) Impact of 3D Printing on the environment: A literature-based study. Sustainable Operations and Computers 2: 57-63.

19. 3d printing industry (2022) BOEING DEPLOYS 3D PRINTING TO HALVE THE LEAD TIME OF US SPACE FORCE ASSET.

20. GE Additive (2020) Aviation and aerospace industry.

21. BMW (2018) A million printed components in just ten years: BMW Group makes increasing use of 3D printing.

22. Ecoinvent Center (2015) Ecoinvent. Dübendorf, Switzerland: Swiss Center for Life Cycle Inventories.

23. Edenhofer O, Pichs-Madruga R, Sokona Y, Minx JC, Farahani E et al. (2014) Climate change 2014: Mitigation of climate change. Working Group III Contribution to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

24. Faludi J, Bayley C, Bhogal S, Iribarne M (2015) Comparing environmental impacts of additive manufacturing vs traditional machining via life-cycle assessment. Rapid Prototyping Journal, 21: 14-33.

25. Ford S, Despeisse M (2016) Additive manufacturing and sustainability: An exploratory study of the advantages and challenges. Journal of Cleaner Production, 137: 1573-87.

26. framelapp printed eyewear (2017) Framelapp. Wiesbaden, Germany: FrameLApp UG.

27. Frank K, Malthaner R (1994) Plastic frame for eyeglasses. U.S. Patent No. US5331355 A. Washington, DC: U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

28. Gajdoš I, Spišák E, Kaščák L, Krasinskyi V (2015) Surface finish techniques for FDM parts. Materials Science Forum, 818: 45-8.

29. Galantucci LM, Lavecchia F, Percoco G (2009) Experimental study aiming to enhance the surface finish of fused deposition modeled parts. CIRP Annals—Manufacturing Technology, 58: 189-92.

30. Gao W, Zhang Y, Ramanujan D, Ramani K, Chen Y, Williams CB, Zavattieri PD (2015) The status, challenges, and future of additive manufacturing in engineering. Computer-Aided Design, 67: 3-33.

31. Bradshaw S, Bowyer A, Haufe P (2010) The intellectual property implications of low-cost 3D printing. ScriptEd, 7: p.5.

32. Brynjolfsson E, McAfee A (2014) The second machine age: Work, progress, and prosperity in a time of brilliant technologies. WW Norton & Company.

33. Ford M (2015) Rise of the Robots: Technology and the Threat of a Jobless Future. Basic Books.

34. Petrovic V, Vicente Haro Gonzalez J, Jordá Ferrando O, Delgado Gordillo J, Ramón Blasco Puchades J et al. (2011) Additive layered manufacturing: sectors of industrial application shown through case studies. International Journal of Production Research 49: 1061-79.

35. Rauch E, Dallasega P, Matt DT (2016) Sustainable production in emerging markets through Distributed Manufacturing Systems (DMS). Journal of Cleaner Production 135: 127-38.

36. Sreenivasan R, Goel A, Bourell DL (2010) Sustainability issues in laser-based additive manufacturing. Physics Procedia, 5: 81-90.

37. Weller C, Kleer R, Piller FT (2015) Economic implications of 3D printing: Market structure models in light of additive manufacturing revisited. International Journal of Production Economics, 164: 43-56.

38. Gebler M, Schoot Uiterkamp AJM, Visser C (2014) A global sustainability perspective on 3D printing technologies. Energy Policy, 74: 158-67.